

A GROMOV-WITTEN APPROACH TO G -EQUIVARIANT BIRATIONAL INVARIANTS

LEONARDO F. CAVENAGHI

College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Ungar Bldg, 1365 Memorial Dr 515, Coral Gables, FL 33146. & Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Unicamp), Cidade Universitária, Campinas - SP, 13083-856.

LINO GRAMA

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica (IMECC) da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Unicamp), Cidade Universitária, Campinas - SP, 13083-856.

LUDMIL KATZARKOV

College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Ungar Bldg, 1365 Memorial Dr 515, Coral Gables, FL 33146. & Institute of the Mathematical Sciences of the Americas (IMSA), 1365 Memorial Drive, Ungar 515, Coral Gables, FL 33146.

ABSTRACT. In [6], we initiated a program linking birational invariants with smooth ones and offering new interpretations of classical invariants, such as the Kervaire-Milnor invariants [19, 30]. Here, we rely on the profound geometric reasoning provided by Lupercio and Uribe in [26, 27] to establish a connection between Chen-Ruan cohomology and the G -birational invariants introduced by Kontsevich, Pestun, and Tschinkel in the pioneering work [21], along with presenting applications. The final section of this paper explores conjectures and preliminary results regarding gerbes, connections over orbifolds, discrete torsion, and potential approaches via motivic integration and twisted K-theory for G -birationality. Combined with the theory of atoms by Katzarkov, Kontsevich, Pantev, and Yu ([18]), the proposal in this paper program will lead to a theory of equivariant atoms, [8].

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues the research line initiated in [6] to produce birational invariants out of higher homotopy invariants [30, 19]. Here, we connect Gromov-Witten invariants with the invariants introduced in [21]. The final goal from the presented approach here will culminate in an equivariant theory of atoms [8] relying upon [18].

Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension d . Given a finite group G action on Y , we can construct the orbit space $X = Y/G$. If the G -action is *generically free*, then X naturally becomes a global quotient orbifold, as illustrated in Example 2.9.

When applying standard equivariant cohomology to study X , information about different isotropy subgroups is lost due to the finite nature of G , as its infinitesimal data from Lie algebras is not preserved [32]. To address this, *orbifold cohomology* was developed [9], rooted in Gromov-Witten

E-mail addresses: leonardofcavenaghi@gmail.com, lino@ime.unicamp.br, lkatzarkov@gmail.com.

theory [9]. This concept is illustrated in Example 2.14. Fundamentally, orbifold cohomology should not rely on ordinary cohomology but rather on the degree-zero part of quantum cohomology [20].

On the other hand, in the pioneering work [21], M. Kontsevich, V. Pestun, and Y. Tschinkel introduced new invariants in equivariant birational geometry represented by specific classes in a group $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$. In this short note, we take advantage of the profound geometric interpretation provided by E. Lupercio and B. Uribe in [26, 27] to connect Chen-Ruan cohomology and these invariants (see Theorem 3.3) and present new applications. We propose the guiding “translation” Table 1. We will briefly explain the heuristics notions involved and the connection between them.

Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d \geq 2$. This paper is concerned with understanding invariants encoding the G -birational type of birationally and generically free finite group G actions $Y \curvearrowright G$ on Y . We propose working with the orbifold quotients $Y/G =: X$ and looking at the Chen-Ruan cohomology of X .

Our approach is influenced by [26, 27] due to the following observations.

- (1) Each orbifold X admits a groupoid description $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ (Example 2.12). To each of such orbifold $\mathcal{G}$, one can obtain the *inertia orbifold* $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ (Definition 2.13). The Chen-Ruan cohomology of X is the (de Rham) cohomology of $\wedge \mathcal{G}$.
- (2) Let X be an orbifold and $\mathcal{G}$ denote its groupoid description. There is a group of *distinguished* line bundles over the $\mathcal{G}_1$ consisting of *gerbes* ([14]) over X . These are classified by $H^3(BX; \mathbb{Z})$, where BX is the classifying space of X . Gerbes *with connections* over X are classified by the enhancement of $H^3(BX; \mathbb{Z})$ given by the third Deligne cohomology group $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$, Theorem 4.6.
- (3) Each gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ over X gives rise to flat line bundles $L_{(g)} \rightarrow X_{(g)}$ over each *twisted sector* $X_{(g)}$ (Definition 2.20). The k -th Chen-Ruan cohomology group of X admits the following description in terms of this line bundles family

$$H^k(X; \mathcal{L}) := \bigoplus_{g \in T_1} H^{k-2\iota_{(g)}}(X_{(g)}; L_{(g_1)}),$$

where $\iota_{(g)}$ is the *degree shifting* associated with $g \in G$ (Definition 3.6).

Gathering the former information, we *decide the G -birational types of $Y \curvearrowright G$ by classifying the orbifold quotients X/G regarding Chen-Ruan cohomology. We do this by understanding their line bundle families given by Gerbes.*

Our considerations are only possible because we can rely upon [21] to identify the birational invariants of orbifolds with the G -birational invariants given by elements in $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$. Let G be a finite group acting generically free and birationally on a (complex) smooth irreducible projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$. Consider the G -action fixed point locus $Y^G = \{y \in Y : gy = y \forall g \in G\} = \bigcap_{g \in G} Y^g$, where $Y^g = \{y \in Y : gy = y\}$ and its decomposition $Y^G = \sqcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} F_\alpha$ in closed smooth irreducible subvarieties $\{F_\alpha\}$. For any $y_\alpha \in F_\alpha$ the G -action on $T_{y_\alpha} Y$ at y_α yields the decomposition $T_{y_\alpha} Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d E_{a_{i,\alpha}}$ where $E_{a_{i,\alpha}}$ are eigenspaces with characters $\{a_{i,\alpha}\}$. The group $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$ collects the symbols $[a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}]$ subject to certain relations. According to [21, Theorem 3], the element $\beta(X) = \sum_\alpha [a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}] \in \mathcal{B}_d(G)$ is a G -birational invariant. The recognition of Chen-Ruan quantities providing G -birational invariants is summarized below in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Translating concepts between $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$ and Chen-Ruan cohomology

Projective varieties with G -free birational actions $Y \curvearrowright G$	Global quotient orbifolds $X = Y/G$
Fixed point set Y^G	Twisted sectors $\widetilde{\Sigma}X = \bigcup_{(g) \in T_1} X_{(g)}$
Eigenspaces for linearized G -actions $T_y Y \curvearrowright G$, $y \in Y^G$	Inner local systems $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{(g)}\}, L_{(g)} \rightarrow X_{(g)}$
Symbols in $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$	Eigenvalues $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m_j}{m}} : g \in G, m = \text{order}(g)\}$
β -classes in $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$	Twisted factors $t(g)$

In Section 4, we extrapolate the connections here proposed promoting twisted K-theoretic interpretations of birational invariants, besides proposing a motivic interpretation of birational invariants,

among other connections, such as related to tmf, [16, 15, 28, 29, 2, 3]. We are mind-guided by the problems and conjectures presented in [6]. We state below our main results.

Theorem A (=Theorem 3.3). Fix an orbifold X . Then, every gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ over X gives rise to a class in the generalized tmf-cohomology of X .

As we learn from [36, Section 4.4], a G -birational invariant commonly appearing in the literature is the cohomology group $H^1(G; \text{Pic}(Y))$ where $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is the Picard group of the underlined variety Y . Let $X = Y/G$ be a global quotient orbifold and $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ be its groupoid representation. Theorem B below assures that we can relate $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$ indirectly to $H^1(G; \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}_1))$. It can be seen as a re-reading of the *necessary condition for birational equivalence theorem* appearing on page 47 of [36].

Theorem B (=Theorem 4.11). Let $Y \curvearrowright G$ be an irreducible smooth projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$ and G be a finite group acting birationally and generically free on Y . Let $X := Y/G$ be the resulting orbifold with groupoid representation $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$. Then $H^1(G; \text{Pic}_{\text{gerbes}}(\mathcal{G}_1))$ is a birational invariant where $\text{Pic}_{\text{gerbes}}(X)$ is the Picard group of line bundles over $\mathcal{G}_1$ consisting in gerbes over X .

Theorem C below provides a more computational appearance of Theorem B.

Theorem C (=Theorem 3.3). Let G be a finite Abelian group acting birationally and generically free on a smooth irreducible projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$. Consider a generically free birational G -action in $\mathbb{P}^d$. Decompose the fixed point set for both actions in irreducible closed subvarieties as below

$$Y^G = \sqcup_l F_l, \quad \mathbb{P}^d{}^G = \sqcup_m G_m.$$

Let $H^*(\cdot)$ stand to the cohomology ring of $(\cdot)$ and consider the respective induced G -actions on it. If there is no isomorphism between the rings below, i.e.,

$$\prod_l H^*(F_l)^G \not\cong \prod_m H^*(G_m)^G$$

then

$$Y \not\sim_G \mathbb{P}^d,$$

i.e., $\beta(Y) \neq \beta(\mathbb{P}^d)$.

Theorem D is motivated by Theorem 1.4 in [24]. To its proof, we rely upon Theorem C and, hence, upon Theorem B. We believe it is a good showcase of our proposed connections. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1.4 in [24] directly uses the results in [36]. As our Theorem B is a re-reading of them, we are re-assured.

Theorem D (=Corollary 3.10). For any linear action of $G = C_4$ (the cyclice group of order 4) in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and the permuting coordinates C_4 action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}^4 \not\sim_{C_4} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Let $X(1, 1, 1, 1)$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ on an elliptic curve. Assume that C_4 acts in it via restricting the cyclic coordinate permutation in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have that for any C_4 -linear action in $\mathbb{P}^3$

$$X(1, 1, 1, 1) \not\sim_{C_4} \mathbb{P}^3.$$

Terminology and conventions.

- Throughout this manuscript, we assume all considered varieties are defined over $\mathbb{C}$.
- When G is a finite group, the superscript G denotes the fixed point set. In Theorem 3.3, these may appear in two contexts: as the fixed point set for the G -action on a certain variety Y or at the cohomology level. For example, if $H^*(\cdot)$ represents the cohomology ring of $\cdot$, then $H^*(\cdot)^G$ or $(H^*(\cdot))^G$ denote the fixed elements in this ring under the induced G -action on the cohomology level.

- In some examples in this paper, we need to consider the cohomology ring of a space comprising finitely many points. Here, we justify our notation choices for handling these examples.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a topological space consisting of finitely many points. The cohomology ring $H^*(X)$ of X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, where each x_i corresponds to a generator representing the i -th point in X . Each generator x_i corresponds to a singular 0-simplex (point) representing the i -th point in X . The multiplication in this ring reflects the cup product in cohomology theory, where the cup product of generators corresponds to the intersection (or disjoint union) of corresponding singular 0-simplices.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the essential content related to Chen-Ruan cohomology required for this paper, along with a concise overview of groupoids and orbifold theory to provide the necessary background. We aim to keep this section brief yet self-contained.

Section 3 outlines our proposed connection between Chen-Ruan cohomology and the β -classes in $\mathcal{B}_d(X)$ by revisiting the foundational aspects of the construction presented in [21]. We announce Theorem C and provide an application, Corollary D.

Section 4 presents a further understanding of our proposed connection (Theorems B and A), besides providing questions and conjectures to be approached soon, [7, 8].

2. A MINIMUM ON CHEN-RUAN COHOMOLOGY

This section provides the minimum on orbifolds and Chen-Ruan cohomology seeking self-containing. We suggest [26, 27, 9, 1] for detailed expositions.

2.1. A quick recall of orbifolds.

Definition 2.1 (Uniformizing systems). An n -dimension *uniformizing system* for a connected topological space U is a triple (V, G, π) where V is a connected n -dimension manifold and

- (a) G is a finite group acting on V as diffeomorphisms;
- (b) $\pi : V \rightarrow U$ is a continuous map which descends to a homeomorphism $\pi : V/G \rightarrow U$.

Definition 2.2 (Isomorphic uniformizing systems). Two uniformizing systems (V_1, G_1, π_1) , (V_2, G_2, π_2) are *isomorphic* if there exists a pair of functions (λ, ϕ) such that

- (a) $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a diffeomorphism;
- (b) $\lambda : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is an isomorphism;
- (c) ϕ is λ -equivariant and the following is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V_2 \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ U & \xleftarrow{\text{Id}} & U \end{array}$$

Let U' be an embedded connected open set $i : U' \hookrightarrow U$. Let (V', G', π') a uniformizing system of U' .

Definition 2.3 (Induced uniformizing system). We say that (V', G', π') is *induced from a uniformizing system* (V, G, π) in U if one can find

- (a) a monomorphism $\lambda : G' \rightarrow G$ inducing an isomorphism $\lambda : \ker G' \rightarrow \ker G$ where $\ker G' := \{g' \in V : g'v' = v' \ \forall v' \in V'\}$, $\ker G := \{g \in V : gv = v \ \forall v \in V\}$ are the ineffective kernels for the G' , G -actions, respectively;

(b) a λ -equivariant open embedding $\phi : V' \rightarrow V$ commuting the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & V \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ U' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \end{array}$$

Definition 2.4 (Isomorphic injections). Two injections $(\phi_i, \lambda_i) : (V'_i, G'_i, \pi'_i) \rightarrow (V, G, \pi)$ are *isomorphic* if one can find

- (a) an isomorphism $(\psi, \tau) : (V'_1, G'_1, \pi'_1) \rightarrow (V'_2, G'_2, \pi'_2)$ and
- (b) an automorphism $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\tau}) : (V, G, \pi) \rightarrow (V, G, \pi)$ such that

$$(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\tau}) \circ (\phi_1, \lambda_1) = (\phi_2, \lambda_2) \circ (\psi, \tau).$$

Definition 2.5 (Germ of uniformizing system at a point). Let U be a connected topological space and $p \in U$. Assume that one can find two open neighborhoods U_1, U_2 of p with uniformizing systems $(V_1, G_1, \pi_1), (V_2, G_2, \pi_2)$. We say that the former are *equivalent at p* if one can find $U_3 \subset U_1 \cap U_2$ and a uniformizing system (V_3, G_3, π_3) isomorphic to the restrictions to U_3 of the uniformizing systems $(V_1, G_1, \pi_1), (V_2, G_2, \pi_2)$.

The *germ* of a uniformizing system (V, G, π) at p is the set of uniformizing systems of neighborhoods of p which are equivalent to (V, G, π) at p .

Definition 2.6 (Orbifold structure). Let X be a normal topological space. An n -dimension *orbifold structure* on X is a collection $\{(V_p, G_p, \pi_p)\}_{p \in X}$ of *germs* of n -dimension uniformizing systems for each $p \in X$. That is, for each $p \in X$ there exists $X \supset U \ni p$ such that for any $q \in U$ the following uniformizing systems are equivalent, $(V_q, G_q, \pi_q), (V_p, G_p, \pi_p)$.

Definition 2.7 (n -dimension orbifold). A normal topological space X is said to be an n -dimension orbifold if it carries a n -dimension orbifold structure.

Fix $p \in X$. For any $q \in U_p$ the groups G_q, G_p are isomorphic.

Definition 2.8. We denote by G_p the *isotropy* or *local group* at p . An orbifold is said to be *reduced* if each G_p acts effectively on X for every $p \in X$. In other words, a reduced orbifold satisfies $\ker G_p = e$ where e denotes the unit element in G_p at each p .

Example 2.9 (Global quotient orbifolds). Let G be a finite group acting smoothly and effectively on a smooth manifold Y . Let $X = Y/G$ stand to the orbit space for this action. Then $\{(X, G, \pi)\}$ is a *single* collection of the uniformizing system (meaning it is a uniformizing system for each of the points on X), where $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is the *orbit map*. ■

Not every orbifold is a global orbifold; for example:

Example 2.10 (Orbifolds as bases for hypersurface singularities fibrations). Pick positive integers $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$. We consider the following $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\mathbb{C}^m$

$$\lambda \cdot (w_1, \dots, w_m) := (\lambda^{w_1/W} w_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_m/W} w_m), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

where $W = \gcd(w_1, \dots, w_m)$. The orbit space $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{C}^*$ is denoted as $\mathbb{P}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ and is named *weighted projective space* with weights $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a *weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree d* , that is,

$$f(\lambda \cdot (w_1, \dots, w_m)) = f(\lambda^{w_1/W} w_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_m/W} w_m) = \lambda^d f(w_1, \dots, w_m), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Assume that 0 is the unique singularity of f in an open ball centered in 0. Let $Y = f^{-1}(0)$. Then $\mathbb{C}^*$ defines an action in Y whose quotient $Y \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{C}^* := X$ is an orbifold hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$. ■

2.2. Chen-Ruan cohomology for Abelian orbifolds. We focus on Abelian orbifolds X , where each local group G_p in a chosen uniformizing system is Abelian. This class encompasses toric varieties and complete intersections of toric varieties. First, we revisit the definition of a groupoid. For a detailed exposition, see [1, 31].

Definition 2.11 (Groupoids). A *groupoid*, shortly denoted as $\mathcal{G}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_0$, is a quadruple $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_0, s, t)$ where

- (1) $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_0$ are objects in a category, where $\mathcal{G}_1$ is named *arrow set* and $\mathcal{G}_0$ is named *object set*
- (2) $s, t : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ are morphisms
- (3) let $\mathcal{G}_{1t} \times_s \mathcal{G}_1 := \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{G}_1 : s(v) = t(u)\}$. One can find a multiplication map $m : \mathcal{G}_{1t} \times_s \mathcal{G}_1 = \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{G}_1 : s(v) = t(u)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ and *inverse* and *identity* maps, respectively

$$i : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$$

$$e : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$$

fully characterized by the compositions

$$\begin{aligned} s \circ e &= \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_0} = t \circ e; & s \circ \pi_1 &= s \circ m, & t \circ \pi_2 &= t \circ m; \\ m \circ (m \times \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}) &= m \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1} \times m); \\ m \circ (es, \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}) &= \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}, & m \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}, et) &= \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}; \\ i \circ i &= \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}, & s \circ i &= t, & t \circ i &= s; \\ m \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}, i) &= e \circ s, & m \circ (i, \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1}) &= e \circ t. \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_i : \mathcal{G}_{1t} \times_s \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ are the factor projections, $i = 1, 2$, and $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_0} : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$, $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_1} : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ are the identity morphisms.

Example 2.12 (Orbifolds as groupoids). Let X be an orbifold and $\{(V_p, G_p, \pi_p)\}_{p \in X}$ its orbifold structure. The groupoid $\mathcal{G}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_0$ associated with X will be defined as follows. The set of objects is given by $\mathcal{G}_0 := \bigsqcup_{p \in X} V_p$. An arrow $g : (v_1, V_1) \rightarrow (v_2, V_2) \in \mathcal{G}_1$ with $v_i \in V_i, i = 1, 2$, will be a equivalence class of triples $g = [\lambda_1, w, \lambda_2]$ where $w \in W$ for another uniformizing system (W, H, ρ) , and the λ_i 's are injections $(\lambda_i, \phi_i) : (W, H, \rho) \rightarrow (V_i, G_i, \pi_i)$ with $\lambda_i(w) = v_i, i = 1, 2$.

The maps s, t, e, i, m are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} s([\lambda_1, w, \lambda_2]) &= (\lambda_1(w), V_1), & t([\lambda_1, w, \lambda_2]) &= (\lambda_2(w), V_2) & e(x, V) &= [id_V, x, id_V] \\ i([\lambda_1, w, \lambda_2]) &= [\lambda_2, w, \lambda_1] & m([\lambda_1, w, \lambda_2], [\mu_1, z, \mu_2]) &= [\lambda_1 \circ v_1, y, \mu_2 \circ v_2] \end{aligned}$$

where $h = [v_1, y, v_2]$ is an arrow joining w and z .

It can be given a topology to $\mathcal{G}_1$ so that s, t will be étale maps, making it into a proper, étale, differentiable groupoid, [31, Theorem 4.1]. ■

Because of Example 2.12, therein, let X be an orbifold and let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ be its groupoid representation. Let $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ be the corresponding *inertia orbifold*.

Definition 2.13. The inertia orbifold $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ is characterized by

- (i) objects set is $(\wedge \mathcal{G})_0 := \{r \in \mathcal{G}_1 : s(r) = t(r)\}$
- (ii) arrows set is $(\wedge \mathcal{G})_1 := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_1 : r_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} r_2 \Leftrightarrow m(\lambda, r_2) = m(r_1, \lambda)\}$.

We believe Example 2.14 below encapsulates the *core* information regarding the concepts related to Chen-Ruan cohomology that need to be recalled. For a more detailed account of it, check [9].

Example 2.14 (The Gromov-Witten flavor in Chen-Ruan cohomology). Given an orbifold X , let $\mathcal{G}$ stand to its groupoid description. Let $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ be its inertia orbifold, or *inertia stack*.

Let C be a genus-zero orbifold complex curve with marked r -points $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$, that is, C is a complex curve with r -non-trivial isotropies on uniformizing systems indexed by these points. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli space of maps $f : C \rightarrow X$ where $\beta = f_*([C]) \in H_2(X)$ for $[C]$ the

fundamental class. Recall that it carries an orbifold structure; see [4, 13]. Below, we indiscriminately abuse notation and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r}(X, \beta)$ denote the groupoid obtained from its orbifold description.

Each groupoid is a *small category*, so a groupoid morphism ([1, Definition 2.39, p.48]) is a category functor. Below, we consider the family (i -indexed) of *evaluation* orbifold morphism (compare with Definition 2.22)

$$\begin{aligned} e_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r}(X, \beta) &\rightarrow \wedge \mathcal{G} \\ (f : C \rightarrow X; x_1, \dots, x_r) &\mapsto (f(x_i), \lambda_{x_i}(1_{x_i})) \end{aligned}$$

where 1_{x_i} is the canonical generator of G_{x_i} .

Assume that $r = 3$ and that all maps f are degree-zero. Each map $f : C \rightarrow X$ factors through maps $f : C \rightarrow BG_p \subset X$ where $p \in X$ is an element in the image of f and BG_p is the classifying space of bundles with structure group G_p , the isotropy at p . According to [1, Chapter 2.3] one gets a G_p -principal bundle over $C \setminus \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Moreover, these are classified by the G_p -conjugacy classes of group homomorphisms ([1, Theorem 2.36, p.47])

$$\pi_1(C \setminus \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) \rightarrow G_p.$$

Thus, they are fully determined by $\{\lambda_i(1_{x_i})\}_{i=1,2,3}$ constrained as $\prod_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i(1_{x_i}) = 1$.

We conclude that the object set of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0)$ can be described as

$$(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0))_0 = \{(p, (g_1, g_2, g_3)) : g_i \in G_p, g_1 g_2 g_3 = 1\}$$

with arrows

$$(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0))_1 = \{(p, (g_1, g_2, g_3)) \mapsto (hp, (hg_1 h^{-1}, hg_2 h^{-1}, hg_3 h^{-1})), h \in G_p\}.$$

Once $(\wedge \mathcal{G})_0 = \{(p, g) : p \in X, g \in G_p\}$ with arrows $\{(p, g) \mapsto (hp, hgh^{-1})\}$ we re-read

$$e_i : (p, (g_1, g_2, g_3)) \mapsto (p, g_i).$$

■

Below, we present the key concepts for computing and properly utilizing Chen-Ruan cohomology. We recommend that the reader refer to Example 2.14 for a guiding illustration of each concept.

Let X be an orbifold. Pick $p \in X$ and (V_p, π, G_p) be a uniformizing system at $U_p \ni p$, that is, $\pi(V_p) = U_p$ and $U_p \cong V_p/G_p$. Let $k > 1$ be an integer.

Definition 2.15. Let $(\mathbf{g}) := [(g_1, \dots, g_k)], (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_p^k$ be the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ in G_p^k . The *multi-sector* $\widetilde{\Sigma}_k X$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_k X = \{(p, (\mathbf{g})) : p \in X, \mathbf{g} \in G_p^k\}.$$

Remark 2.16. When $k = 1$, we may omit the subscript in $\widetilde{\Sigma}_k X$. △

Pick $q \in U_p$. Up to conjugation, G_p and G_q are isomorphic. Hence, for any choice $\mathbf{g} \in G_q^k$ the conjugacy class $(\mathbf{g})_{G_p}$ is well-defined. Therefore, we let $(\mathbf{g})_{G_q} \cong (\mathbf{g})_{G_p}$ for any $q \in U_p$, and any $\mathbf{g} \in G_q^k$. Set $T_k := \cup_{q \in U_p} \cup_{(\mathbf{g})_q \in G_q^k} (\mathbf{g})_q / \sim$ where $\sim$ is the former equivalence relation.

Lemma 2.17 (Chapter 4 in [1]). *Let $X_{(\mathbf{g})} := \{(p, (\mathbf{g}')_{G_p}) : \mathbf{g}' \in G_p^k \text{ \& } (\mathbf{g}')_{G_p} \in (\mathbf{g})\}$. Then,*

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_k X = \sqcup_{(\mathbf{g}) \in T_k} X_{(\mathbf{g})}$$

Example 2.18. In the case $k = 3$, the multi-sector

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_3 X = \sqcup_{(\mathbf{g}) \in T_3} X_{(\mathbf{g})}$$

can be identified with the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0)$ in Example 2.14. ■

Example 2.19. Let $X = Y/G$ where $|G| < \infty$. For any point $p \in X$, one has that $G_p \cong G$. Pick any $g \in G$ and let (g) be the conjugacy class of g in G . Then the sector $\widetilde{\Sigma}X$ is locally seen as $\widetilde{\Sigma}X \stackrel{\text{locally}}{=} Y^{(g)}/C(g)$ where $Y^{(g)} := \{y \in Y : g'y = yg' \forall g' \in (g)\}$ and $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g in G . That is,

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}X = \cup_{(g)} Y^{(g)}/C(g).$$

■

Definition 2.20 (Twisted sector). Let $X_{(g)} := \{(p, (g')_{G_p}) : g' \in G_p \text{ \& } (g')_{G_p} \in (g)\}$.

- (a) if $g = 1$ we name $X_{(g)}$ by *untwisted sector*
- (b) for each $g \neq 1$ the sets $X_{(g)}$ are named *twisted sectors*

Example 2.21 (Twisted sectors for global quotients). Let $X = Y/G$ with $|G| < \infty$ and pick $g \neq 1$. We have that $X_{(g)} := \{(p, (g')_{G_p}) : g' \in G_p \text{ \& } (g')_{G_p} \in (g)\} \cong Y^{(g)}/C(g)$.

For $g = 1$ though we have that $Y^{(g)} = Y$ and $C(g) = G$. Therefore, $X_{(1)} \cong Y/G = X$. ■

Definition 2.22 (Natural maps between multi-sectors). Pick positive integers k, l . We let

- (a) $e_{i_1 \dots i_l} : \widetilde{\Sigma}_k X \rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}_l X$ be the *evaluation map* defined as

$$e_{i_1 \dots i_l} : (x, (g_1, \dots, g_k)) \mapsto (x, (g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_l})).$$

- (b) the *inversion map* $I : \widetilde{\Sigma}_k X \rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}_k X$ be defined as

$$I : (x, (g_1, \dots, g_k)) \mapsto (x, (g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_k^{-1})).$$

We are finally prepared to define the Chen-Ruan cohomology of an Abelian orbifold formally.

Definition 2.23 (Chen-Ruan cohomology ring). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the groupoid representation of X and $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ be the corresponding inertia orbifold. The Chen-Ruan cohomology ring $H_{CR}^*(X)$ of X be defined as

$$H_{CR}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) := H_{dR}^*(\wedge \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$$

where H_{dR}^* stands for the de Rham cohomology ring.

Remark 2.24. For the sake of brevity, we avoided many details on Definition 2.23, such as product structure for the Chen-Ruan ring, the de Rham cohomology of *twisted forms*, and others. For a complete account, we suggest [1, Chapter 5]. $\triangle$

Below, we recall the concept of Inner Local Systems. As one shall present in Section 4, it plays a vital role in explicitly describing Chen-Ruan cohomology.

Definition 2.25 (Inner local systems). Let X be an orbifold. An *inner local system* $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{(g)}\}_{(g) \in T_1}$ is an assignment of a flat complex line orbi-bundle $L_{(g)} \rightarrow X_{(g)}$ to each twisted sector $X_{(g)}$ satisfying the following compatibility conditions

- (a) $L_{(1)}$ is trivial
- (b) $I^* L_{(g^{-1})} = L_{(g)}$, where I is the inversion map (Definition 2.22)
- (c) over each $X_{(\mathbf{g})}$ with $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$, $g_1 g_2 g_3 = 1$

$$e_1^* L_{(g_1)} \otimes e_2^* L_{(g_2)} \otimes e_3^* L_{(g_3)} = 1$$

Example 2.26 (Continuation of Example 2.14). For each i we have the evaluation morphisms $e_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0) \rightarrow \wedge X$ mapping $(x, (g_1, g_2, g_3)) \mapsto (x, g_i)$. Consider to each $X_{(g_i)}$ a flat line bundle $L_{(g_i)}$. We make the pullbacks $\{e_i^*(L_{(g_i)})\}$ and make a line bundle

$$L_{123} := e_1^* L_{(g_1)} \otimes e_2^* L_{(g_2)} \otimes e_3^* L_{(g_3)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0).$$

We can associate to L_{123} its first Chern class $c_1(L_{123}) \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0); \mathbb{Z})$. It is well-known that L_{123} is trivial if, and only if, $c_1(L_{123}) = 0$. Hence, an inner local system always gives rise to flat line bundles over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, 0)$. ■

Example 2.27 (Inner local systems on global quotient orbifolds). Assume that $X = Y/G$ where $|G| < \infty$. For $g = 1$, we have nothing but a trivial line bundle $L_{(1)} = X \times \mathbb{C}$ over $X_{(1)} = X = Y/G$. In the remaining cases, we have a flat line bundle $L_{(g)}$ over $Y^{(g)}/C(g)$ with typical fiber $\mathbb{C}$. We can relate that with line bundles over $Y^{(g)}$ in the following way. For each (g) consider the projection $\pi^{(g)} : Y^{(g)} \rightarrow Y^{(g)}/C(g)$. We can then pullback $L_{(g)}$ to $Y^{(g)}$ defining a line bundle $\pi^{(g)*} L_{(g)} \rightarrow Y^{(g)}$. Not every line bundle over $Y^{(g)}$ comes in this way. However,

Lemma 2.28. *A line bundle $L_{(g)}^Y$ carrying a linear $C(g)$ -action defines a line bundle $L^{(g)}$ over $Y^{(g)}/C(g)$ if, and only if, for every $y \in Y^{(g)}$ the isotropy group $C(g)_y$ acts trivially on the fiber $L_{(g)y}^Y \cong \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, the collection of inner local systems $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{(g)}\}_{(g) \in T_1}$ over $X_{(g)}$ is in bijective correspondence with flat line bundles $\{L_{(g)}^Y\}$ over $Y^{(g)}$ with $C(g)$ -linear actions with*

- (a) *trivial $C(g)_y$ -actions in $L_{(g)y}^Y \cong \mathbb{C}$, $y \in Y^{(g)}$*
- (b) *$L_{(1)}^Y = Y \times \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial bundle over Y*
- (c) *$\pi^{(g)*} I \circ \pi_*^{(g)} L_{(g)}^Y \cong L_{(g^{-1})}^Y$*
- (d) *$\left(\pi^{(g_1)} \otimes \pi^{(g_2)} \otimes \pi^{(g_3)} \right)^* \left(e_1^* \pi_*^{(g_1)} L_{(g_1)}^Y \otimes e_2^* \pi_*^{(g_2)} L_{(g_2)}^Y \otimes e_3^* \pi_*^{(g_3)} L_{(g_3)}^Y \right) = 1$*

Proof. The only non-trivial claim is about the characterization of which bundles descend to $Y^{(g)}/C(g)$ for each g . It is proven, however, in Lemma 2.2 or Theorem 2.3 in [12]. $\square$

In the next section, we give our proposed reading of the invariants given in [21] regarding Chen-Ruan cohomology quantities. In the last section, we revisit many of the concepts introduced in this section to make further connections, such as between birational invariants, discrete torsion, and topological modular forms.

3. A GROMOV-WITTEN READING OF β -CLASSES IN $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$

This section describes our proposed connections between the β -classes introduced in [21] and Chen-Ruan cohomology quantities'.

Let G be a finite group acting generically free and birationally on a (complex) smooth irreducible projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$. Consider the G -action fixed point locus

$$Y^G = \{y \in Y : gy = y \forall g \in G\} = \bigcap_{g \in G} Y^g,$$

where $Y^g = \{y \in Y : gy = y\}$.

There is a collection of indexes $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$Y^G = \sqcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} F_\alpha$$

where $\{F_\alpha\}$ are closed smooth irreducible subvarieties of Y . Let $d_\alpha := \dim F_\alpha \leq d - 1$. Pick any $y_\alpha \in F_\alpha$ and let G -act on $T_{y_\alpha} Y$ as the isotropy representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_{y_\alpha} Y)$, i.e., the linearization of the G -action on Y at y_α . It decomposes as

$$T_{y_\alpha} Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d E_{a_{i,\alpha}}$$

where $E_{a_{i,\alpha}}$ are one-dimensional (over $\mathbb{C}$) eigenspaces with characters $\{a_{i,\alpha}\}$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z} a_{i,\alpha} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times).$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$ be the group collecting

- (a) for each α the symbols $[a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}]$
- (b) subjected to the relations

- (i) the symbols are invariant under permutation
- (ii) for every positive integer $2 \leq k \leq d$

$$[a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, a_{i,\alpha} \neq a_{i',\alpha}, \forall i' < i} [a_{1,\alpha} - a_{i,\alpha}, \dots, a_{i,\alpha}, \dots, a_{k,\alpha} - a_{i,\alpha}, a_{k+1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}]$$

In [33, 23, 22], the authors explore the β -classes above to study G -birationality problems.

Definition 3.1. Let X, Y be smooth projective varieties of dimension $d \geq 2$. One says that X, Y are G -equivariantly birationally equivalent, writing $X \sim_G Y$, if there exists a G -equivariant birational morphisms

$$\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y.$$

Theorem 3.2 (Theorem 3 in [21]). *For any smooth projective irreducible variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$ with a generically free action by a finite group G , the class $\beta(Y) := \sum_{\alpha} [a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{d,\alpha}] \in \mathcal{B}_d(G)$ is an equivariant birational invariant. Consequently, given two X, Y as formerly, if $\beta(X) \neq \beta(Y)$ then $X \not\sim_G Y$.*

Theorem 3.3 below is a practical tool to decide G -birational invariants. We do not include its proof here. With generalizations, this will be approached in [7].

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a finite Abelian group acting birationally and generically free on a smooth irreducible projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$. Consider a generically free birational G -action in $\mathbb{P}^d$. Decompose the fixed point set for both actions in irreducible closed subvarieties as below*

$$Y^G = \sqcup_l F_l, \mathbb{P}^d^G = \sqcup_m G_m.$$

Let $H^(\cdot)$ stand to the cohomology ring of $(\cdot)$ and consider the respective induced G -actions on it. If there is no isomorphism between the rings below, i.e.,*

$$\prod_l H^*(F_l)^G \not\cong \prod_m H^*(G_m)^G$$

then

$$Y \not\sim_G \mathbb{P}^d,$$

i.e., $\beta(Y) \neq \beta(\mathbb{P}^d)$.

Remark 3.4. (1) A more general version of Theorem 3.3 holds, disregarding the hypothesis of G being Abelian. To keep the manuscript simple and better communicate ideas, we chose the facility brought by this assumption. The authors, however, agree that this may be a huge simplification. Further elaboration on the introduced content shall be presented in [7].

(2) At the end of this section, we present an application of the former (Corollary 3.10).

△

The Gromov-Witten reading of the elements in $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$ uses the Chen-Ruan cohomology. As the general spirit, instead of dealing with Y and the G -action, we work *downstairs*, namely, with the orbifold $X := Y/G$. It is worth mentioning that looking at [22, 23], the proposed connection should not be taken as a surprise.¹ A huge observation is that, in general, dealing with the orbifold X instead of Y with the G -action could lead to information loss, as we disregard the group action. Even the orbifold description of X carrying the isotropy information (via uniformizing systems), only the Chen-Ruan cohomology encodes the needed ingredients to the full understanding of G -birationality related to [21].

The Chen-Ruan cohomology is fully determined by the twisted sectors and line bundles over them; see Equation (4.1). Below, we assume that $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ stands for the groupoid representation of an orbifold $X := Y/G$. Let $e : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ be the identity map. Recall that it satisfies $s \circ e = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_0} = e \circ t$. The identity map e defines an embedding, which we abuse notation and also

¹We observe that M. Kontsevich had already many conversations with the third-named author regarding this subject.

denote by $e : \wedge \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, given as $e(g) := s(g) = t(g)$. Thus, we can pullback the groupoid bundle $T\mathcal{G}$ to $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ by e^* . More precisely, $e^*T\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a groupoid bundle whose base is the inertia orbifold $\wedge \mathcal{G}$.

Pick $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $s(g) = t(g) = x \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Then g acts in $e^*(T_x \mathcal{G}_0)$ via a group representation (the isotropy representation) $\rho(g) : T_x \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow T_x \mathcal{G}_0$. Collect its eigenvalues $\left\{ e^{\frac{2\pi i m_j}{m}} \right\}$ under the rules that $m = \text{order}_G(g)$ and $m_j \leq m_{j+1} \leq \dots$. Let $\{E_j\}$ be the corresponding eigenspaces. We have that $e^*(T_x \mathcal{G}) = \oplus_j E_j$. Therein, assume that $\dim E_j = 1$.

Lemma 3.5 (Chapter 5.1 in [1]). *There are line bundles $\{E_j\}$ over $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ such that for each $x \in G_0$ such that $x = s(g) = t(g)$ it holds that $E_{j_x} = \ker(1 - \rho(g))$.*

According to Lemma 2.17 and Example 2.19, we have that $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ represents the orbifold

$$\widetilde{\Sigma X} = \cup_{g \in G} Y^g / G.$$

For each j pick $\theta_j \in H^1(E_j, E_j \setminus \wedge \mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ to be the Thom class of $E_j \rightarrow \wedge G$.

Definition 3.6. The formal product

$$t(g) := \prod_j \theta_j^{\frac{m_j}{m}}$$

is named *twisted factor* associated with the twisted sector $X_{(g)}$. The *degree* $\deg(t(g))$ of the twisted factor $t(g)$ is given by

$$\deg(t(g)) := 2 \sum_j \frac{m_j}{m} =: 2\iota_{(g)}.$$

Each element in $\{\iota_{(g)}\}_{g \in G}$ is named a *degree-shifting*.

Remark 3.7. For Definition 3.6, we are adopting the conventions $\theta_j^0 = 1$ and $\theta_j^1 = \theta_j$. $\triangle$

Lemma 3.8 below is the bridge-key connecting the G -birational invariants described in [21] with Chen-Ruan cohomology. We add more to this picture in Section 4.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $X = Y/G$ be a global quotient orbifold.² The for each conjugacy class $(g) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ the collection of twisted factors $\{t(g)\}$ is a birational invariant of X .*

Remark 3.9. The proof of Lemma 3.8 heavily relies on the proof that β is a G -birational invariant. See Table 1 for a dictionary between the here-introduced concepts. $\triangle$

We move to provide an application of Theorem 3.3. Corollary 3.10 below is motivated by Theorem 1.4 in [24].

Corollary 3.10. *For any linear action of $G = C_4$ (the cyclice group of order 4) in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and the permuting coordinates C_4 action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}^4 \not\sim_{C_4} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Let $X(1, 1, 1, 1)$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ on an elliptic curve. Assume that C_4 acts in it via restricting the cyclic coordinate permutation in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have that for any C_4 -linear action in $\mathbb{P}^3$

$$X(1, 1, 1, 1) \not\sim_{C_4} \mathbb{P}^3.$$

Proof. As the first step in the proof, one recalls the auxiliary result below.

Lemma 3.11 (Proposition 2.3 in [17]). *Let G be a finite group and $\psi = \sum_j n_j \psi_j$ a G -irreducible complex representation, i.e., $\psi_j : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{d_j})$, with $\{n_j\}$ positive integers. Then the fixed point set for the ψ -representation of G in $\mathbb{P}^{\sum_j n_j - 1}$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{P}^{\sum_j n_j - 1} G = \sqcup_{j: d_j = 1} \mathbb{P}^{n_j - 1}.$$

² G need not be Abelian in this case.

Consequently, we readily get that

$$\mathbb{P}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_j-1} G} = \sqcup_{j=1}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_j-1} G} \{[0 : \dots : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0]\}.$$

Thus, for any commutative ring R the cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_j-1} G}$ is given by

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_j-1} G}; R) \cong R[x]/(x^2) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$$

where x is a generator of degree 2. Take $R = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. The G -action in $\mathbb{P}^n$ induces a G -action in $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Following Theorem 3.3, we save for now the quantity

$$(C(\mathbb{P}^n)[x]/(x^2) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0)^G.$$

We move to compute the fixed point set for the C_4 -action in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We write for each $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the factor its coordinates as $[u_0 : u_1]$. Let C_4 act on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ via cyclic permuting the coordinates of each factor. We have that

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1^{C_4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Indeed, if $[u_0^j : u_1^j]$ stands to the general coordinates in the j -th factor, we readily check that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1^{C_4} = \{([u_0^1 : u_1^1], \dots, [u_0^1 : u_1^1])\} \cong \{[u_0 : u_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1\}.$

Consequently, for a chosen commutative ring R , we have that

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1^{C_4}) \cong \frac{R[x]}{(x^2)}$$

where x is a generator of degree 2. Now pick $R = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and let $G = C_4$ act on it accordingly. Following Theorem 3.3 we consider the quantity

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)[x]}{(x^2)} \right)^{C_4}.$$

The result has been proved since the following rings are not isomorphic

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1)[x]}{(x^2)} \right)^{C_4} \not\cong \left(\frac{C(\mathbb{P}^1)[x]}{(x^2)} \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \right)^{C_4}.$$

□

Y. Tschinkel informed the authors that the G -birational invariants related to the Burnside group ([22]) do not behave well in dealing with the stable G -birationality concept.

Definition 3.12. Let X, Y be smooth projective varieties of dimension $d \geq 2$. One says that X, Y are *stably* G -equivariantly birationally equivalent, if there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$X \times \mathbb{P}^N \sim_G Y \times \mathbb{P}^N,$$

i.e., if there exists a G -equivariant birational morphisms

$$\phi : X \times \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^N,$$

where the G -action on the $\mathbb{P}^N$ -factors are trivial.

The example below shows that, as expected, the same occurs in our technique once the Burnside group defines an enhancement of $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$, [21, Remark 5].

Example 3.13 (The methods' failure regarding stable G -birationality). Lemma 3.11 ensures that for any Abelian finite group G -linear action in $\mathbb{P}^m$ (for instance, $m = 1$), the fixed point set is a finite collection of points (regardless of the (linear) G -action). Hence, $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^N$ (with the trivial action on the second factor) is a finite collection of $\mathbb{P}^N$ copies. For $m = 1$, the fixed point sets for $\mathbb{P}^1$ are simply two points, $[1 : 0], [0 : 1]$. Hence, for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^N$ they are $[1 : 0] \times \mathbb{P}^N, [0 : 1] \times \mathbb{P}^N$.

On the one hand, the Chen-Ruan quantities we may deal with for the fixed point set is $[1 : 0], [0 : 1]$ can differ depending on the action. These are $((R[x]/x^2) \oplus 0)^G$ where R is some commutative ring.

However, when the fixed point set is $[1 : 0] \times \mathbb{P}^N$, $[0 : 1] \times \mathbb{P}^N$ because the G -action on this factor is trivial, the quantities we have to compare are always standard (meaning, with no action) cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^N$. Thus, we can not distinguish them for any $N \geq 1$. ■

4. SOME CONJECTURES AND FURTHER PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we outline numerous prospective connections to be explored and add more details on the connections proposed in Section 3. Herein, consider X as an orbifold, not necessarily a global quotient orbifold. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote its groupoid description and $\wedge \mathcal{G}$ its inertia orbifold. It is noteworthy, as per [26], that the notion of *gerbes* ([14]) extends to orbifolds. Additionally, the following assertion holds:

Theorem 4.1 (Corollary 3.6.7, p.173 in [26]). *A gerbe over an orbifold X determines an inner local system over the twisted sectors $\widehat{\Sigma}X$.*

Theorem 4.1 shows that gerbes over orbifolds parameterize choices of inner local systems $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{(g)}\}$. On another note, as stated in [27], the Chen-Ruan cohomology groups can be defined in terms of these inner local systems as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad H^k(X; \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{g \in (T_1)} H^{k-2l(g)}(X_{(g)}; L_{(g)}).$$

Thus, gerbes over orbifolds characterize the Chen-Ruan cohomology. Combined with our approach, this suggests that gerbes over orbifolds may determine the G -birational classes of orbifolds.

Gerbes over an orbifold X are classified by the third integral cohomology $H^3(BX; \mathbb{Z})$ where BX is the classifying space of the orbifold X , see [1, Chapter 1.4]. An enhancement comes if one considers instead of gerbes, *gerbes with connections* over X . These form a group and are classified by the Deligne cohomology group $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$, see [26, Proposition 6.3.3, p.473]. Below, we furnish a possible *twisted K-theory approach* to G -birationality. First, we provide a *motivic* interpretation of G -birationality.

4.1. A motivic interpretation of G -birationality. Following [25], the cohomological McKay-Reid correspondence states that for a global quotient orbifold $X = Y/G$ having a smooth crepant resolution, there is a correspondence between the cohomology generators of the resolution and the conjugacy classes of the group G .

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 1 in [25]). *Let X, X' to (complete) global quotient orbifolds. Assume there are common birational singularity resolutions $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, $\psi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ such that $\phi^*(K_X) \cong \psi^*(K_{X'})$. Then, their orbifold Hodge numbers, Hodge structures, and orbifold Euler characteristics coincide.*

Theorem 4.2 is proved using a motivic measure formula, Equation (4.2) below.

As one learns from [9], “orbifold quantum cohomology”, meaning Chen-Ruan cohomology, is isomorphic to the ordinary quantum cohomology of the orbifold singularity crepant resolutions. Hence, considering the here-established connection between G -birational invariants, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 4.3. *The motivic measure below is a G -birational invariant*

$$\mu_{\text{orb}}(L(X)) = \sum_{(g) \in T_1} [X_{(g)}/X] \mathbb{L}^{l(g^{-1})},$$

where $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ is the Tate motive and $L(X)$ is the space of arcs in X whose $\mathbb{C}$ -points correspond to formal arcs $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z]] \rightarrow X$. In other words, let a finite group G act generically free on smooth projective irreducible varieties Y, Y' of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let X, X' be the resulting global quotient orbifolds (obtained as orbit spaces). If

$$(4.2) \quad \mu_{\text{orb}}(L(X)) \neq \mu_{\text{orb}}(L(X'))$$

then $Y \not\sim_G Y'$.

4.2. Gerbes, discrete torsion, and birational invariants. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ be the groupoid representation of an orbifold X . Herein, we refer to the translating Table 2 below, built based upon Theorem 4.1.

TABLE 2. Orbifold vs. Groupoid quantities

Orbifold X	Groupoid representation $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$
Twisted sectors $\widetilde{\Sigma X} = \bigcup_{(g) \in T_1} X_{(g)}$	Inertial groupoid $\wedge \mathcal{G}$
Inner local systems $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{(g)}\}$	Gerbes (line bundles with properties) $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{G}_1$

With Table 2 in mind, one recalls that gerbes with connections correspond with $\mathcal{L}$ *twisted bundles* over X .

Definition 4.4 ($\mathcal{L}$ twisted bundles over orbifolds, Definition 7.2.1+Proposition 7.2.2 in [26]). An n -dimension *twisted line bundle* over X is a vector bundle $E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes t^*E \cong s^*E.$$

Definition 4.5 (Twisted K-theory, Definition 7.2.3 in [26]). Let X be an orbifold and $\mathcal{L}$ a gerbe over X . The Grothendieck group generated by the isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{L}$ twisted bundles over X is the $\mathcal{L}$ twisted K-theory ${}^{\mathcal{L}}K_{\text{grp}}(X)$.

Theorem 4.6 (Proposition 6.3.3 in [27]). *Let X be an orbifold. The gerbes $\mathcal{L}$ over X are classified by $H^3(BX; \mathbb{Z})$. Gerbes with connections, and hence, $\mathcal{L}$ twisted bundles over X , are classified by the enhancement $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$ of $H^3(BX; \mathbb{Z})$.*

A related concept to the former is that of *discrete torsion*. It plays a meaningful role in Chen-Ruan cohomology. Discrete torsion and B -fields (as in [11, 10]) can be seen as elements in $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$.

Assume that X is a global quotient orbifold. The choice of discrete torsion is a subgroup of the equivalence classes of gerbes with connection over the X ([26, Theorem 4.2.1]). As we explain in Example 4.8 below, discrete torsions can be described as elements in $\alpha \in H^2(G; U(1))$. Each of such may give rise to some inner local systems $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := \{L_{(g), \alpha}\}$.

Given an inner local system $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ coming from a discrete torsion $\alpha \in H^2(G; U(1))$ we let

$$H_{CR, \alpha}^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H_{CR}^*(X; \mathcal{L}_\alpha).$$

Theorem 7.2.16 in [27] ensures that

$$(4.3) \quad {}^{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}K_{\text{grp}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H_{CR, \alpha}^*(X; \mathbb{C}).$$

Question 1. Let X be a global quotient orbifold X . Let $\{\mathcal{L}\}$ collect all the gerbes with connections over X . Are $\{{}^{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}K_{\text{grp}}(X)\}$ birational invariants? Can this provide easier computation methods (relying upon K-theory methods) to decide birationality?

Related to the former question, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 4.7. *The Deligne cohomology group $H^3(X = Y/G, \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$ classify all the possible G -birational classes of an irreducible smooth projective variety Y of dimension $d \geq 2$.*

In Example 4.8 below, we clarify the appearance of all the formerly described elements and suggest a change in the motivic measure expression (4.2) to encompass discrete torsion, see Equation (4.5).

Example 4.8. The connection established in the previous section between Chen-Ruan cohomology for $X = Y/G$ and the β -classes can be succinctly summarized as related by the twisted sectors decomposition. Throughout, we do not need to assume that G is Abelian. We have

$$\widetilde{\Sigma X} = \bigcup_{(g) \in T_1} X_{(g)} \cong \bigcup_{(g) \in T_1} Y^{(g)} / C(g),$$

along with line bundles $\{L_{(g)}\}$ over each $Y^{(g)}/C(g)$.

Let $\pi_1^{orb}(X)$ be the *orbifold fundamental group* ([1, Chapter 2.2])). According to [27, below Definition 2.2.2], the elements $\alpha \in H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(X); U(1))$ are named *discrete torsions*.

On the other hand, Y can be seen as the orbifold universal covering of X , so that there is a short, exact sequence ([1, Definition 2.16, p. 40]).

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1^{orb}(X) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

which induces a cohomology-level map

$$H^2(G; U(1)) \rightarrow H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(X); U(1)).$$

Hence, the discrete torsion elements for X are $\alpha \in H^2(G; U(1))$.

Pick a discrete torsion element $\alpha \in H^2(G; U(1))$. The inner local system $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := \{L_{(g), \alpha}\}$ induces a twisted action of $C(g)$ on the cohomology of the fixed point set $H^*(Y^{(g)}, \mathbb{C})$ by $\beta \mapsto L_{(g), \alpha(h)} h^* \beta$ for $h \in C(g)$.

Let $H^*(Y^g, \mathbb{C})^{C^\alpha(g)}$ be the invariant subspace under this twisted action. Then

$$(4.4) \quad H_{CR, \alpha}^k(X; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{(g) \in T_1} \left(H^{k-2l_{(g)}}(Y^g; \mathbb{C}) \right)^{C^\alpha(g)}.$$

Equation (4.4) enhances the Chen-Ruan cohomology. We present the changing motivic measure interpretation of the Chen-Ruan cohomology, which considers this.

Conjecture 4.9. *The quantity given by Equation (4.5) below*

$$(4.5) \quad \mu_{orb, \alpha}(L(X)) = \sum_{(g) \in T_1} [(Y^g / C^\alpha(g)) / X] \mathbb{L}^{l_{(g^{-1})}}$$

is

- (1) *a motivic measure*
- (2) *a G-birational invariant*

where $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ is the Tate motive and $L(X)$ is the space of arcs in X whose $\mathbb{C}$ -points correspond to formal arcs $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z]] \rightarrow X$.

■

4.3. A few comments on Theorem B. Let X be an orbifold and $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, s, t)$ be its groupoid representation. As we have learned (check, for instance, Table 2), line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{G}_1$ correspond to gerbes over X .

Definition 4.10. We denote by

- (1) $\text{Pic}_{\text{gerbes}}(\mathcal{G}_1)$ the Picard group of line bundles over $\mathcal{G}_1$ coming from gerbes over X .
- (2) $\text{Pic}_{\text{torsion}}(\mathcal{G}_1)$ the Picard group of line bundles over $\mathcal{G}_1$ coming from discrete torsion elements $H^2(G; U(1))$.

In [36, Section 4.4, p.47] is presented a necessary condition for birational equivalence. Here, we announce Theorem 4.11 below. A complete proof shall appear elsewhere, [8]. Their result is based upon the existence of birational morphisms from non-singular varieties. As we recalled, the Chen-Ruan cohomology is isomorphic to the ordinary quantum cohomology of the orbifold singularity crepant resolutions. As both, gerbes over orbifolds fully characterize the Chen-Ruan cohomology (Equation (4.1)) and give rise to a family of flat line bundles over the twisted sector (Inner Local Systems, Theorem 4.1), it is natural to expect the following.

Theorem 4.11. *If X is a global quotient orbifold then $H^1(G; \text{Pic}_{\text{gerbes}}(\mathcal{G}_1))$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$. Moreover, $H^1(G; \text{Pic}_{\text{gerbes}}(\mathcal{G}_1))$ is a birational invariant.*

4.4. Birational invariants for orbifolds via twisted K-theory. Throughout this manuscript, we have mostly considered global quotient orbifolds. One of the key reasons for that is that the β -classes (belonging to $\mathcal{B}_d(G)$) are related to finite group actions on smooth irreducible projective varieties Y . However, there is no reason why we do not try to approach birational invariants for orbifolds X such as the one presented in Example 2.10.

Below, we argue how to use a twisted K-theory approach to decide birationality for non-global quotient orbifolds. One of the key ideas relies on using stratification on smaller dimensions global quotient orbifolds (Lemma 4.14) and re-patching each “local invariant” from these pieces. The authors believe that for the proper understanding of this *re-patching*, one may rely upon tmf ([16, 15, 28, 29]). We guide the discussion with a concrete question.

In [6, Conjectures F, G], we have proposed a connection between smooth invariants ([30, 19]) related to Homotopy Hopf manifolds, i.e., $\Sigma^7 \times S^1$, where Σ^7 is a 7-dimension homotopy sphere, and birational invariants, on certain orbi-fanos coming from T^2 -fibrations. Expanding on Example 2.10, pick $f_k(u, v, z_0, z_1, z_2) := u^{6k-1} + v^3 + z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2$, $k \in \{1, \dots, 28\}$. Then $(0, \dots, 0)$ is the only singularity in any arbitrarily small ball around the origin in $\mathbb{C}^5$ and $f^{-1}(0) \cap S^9$ inherits a S^1 -action from the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action defined in Example 2.10. The orbit spaces $f^{-1}(0) \cap S^9 / S^1 =: V_k$ are Fano orbifold hypersurfaces in a weighted projective space, [6, Lemma 5.4].

Using that for each k the manifolds $f_k^{-1}(0) \cap S^9$ correspond to one of the diffeomorphism classes of smooth structures of seven dimension spheres ([5]), it is natural to ask if for each $k \neq k' \in \{1, \dots, 28\}$, V_k is not birational to $V_{k'}$. Now that we have argued how gerbes can be associated with birational invariants, we propose the following approach to decide this question.

Consider the third Deligne cohomology $H^3(V_k; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty)$ of each V_k . This cohomology group parameterizes all the gerbes with connections over V_k . As we explained, these gerbes with connections give rise to the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of twisted bundles over V_k . Given that among the T^2 -bundles over V_k , for different k , different diffeomorphism types total spaces exist, it is reasonable to expect the existence of different isomorphism classes of twisted bundles over two $V_k, V_{k'}, k \neq k'$. In other words, non-isomorphic twisted K-theories.

Conjecture 4.12. *For each $k \in \{1, \dots, 28\}$ there exist gerbes with connections $\mathcal{L}_k$ over the Fano orbifolds V_k have non-such that, for each $k \neq k' \in \{1, \dots, 28\}$ it holds that*

$$\mathcal{L}_k K_{\text{grp}}(V_k) \not\cong \mathcal{L}_{k'} K_{\text{grp}}(V_{k'}).$$

We also propose the following conjecture relating birational types of V_k and diffeomorphism classes of homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^7 \times S^1$.

Conjecture 4.13. *Let $f_k(u, v, z_0, z_1, z_2) = u^{6k-1} + v^3 + z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2$ be a family of hypersurface singularities for $k = 1, \dots, 28$. Consider the singularity link $f^{-1}(0) \cap S^9$ and a T^2 -fibration*

$$T^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma^7 \times S^1 \rightarrow V_k$$

where V_k are Fano hypersurface orbifolds in a weighted projective space. Then for any $k \neq k' \in \{1, \dots, 28\}$

$$H^3(V_k; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty) \not\cong H^3(V_{k'}; \mathbb{Z}(3)_D^\infty).$$

Consequently, $V_k, V_{k'}$ are not birational.

All the here-proposed invariants rely much on global quotient orbifolds. We may ask ourselves if one can extrapolate them to general orbifolds. Lemma 4.14 ensures us that we can, for complete orbifolds, find a stratification in smaller dimension global quotient orbifolds. Based on that, the main question remains whether this local piece of information can be patched well to give global information.

Lemma 4.14 (Lemma 3.1 in [25]). *For an orbifold X that is complete, there exists a stratification $X = \sqcup_{i=1}^N X_i$ and a corresponding stratification of its étale groupoid representation $\mathcal{G} = \sqcup_i \mathcal{G}_i$ so that each X_i is smooth with stabilizer Γ_i (where Γ_i is a finite group). Each $\mathcal{G}_i$ is Morita equivalent to the action groupoid $X_i \times \Gamma_i \rightrightarrows X_i$.*

To deal with this *globalization argumentation*, passing through global quotient pieces in an orbifold, it may be the case that the theory of tmf plays a major role. The string orientation of tmf is the universal orientation for tmf-cohomology given by a homomorphism

$$\sigma : MString \rightarrow \text{tmf}$$

from the String structure-Thom spectrum to the tmf-spectrum, [29].

On the other hand, the String is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ -fibration over Spin. This induces a map

$$\pi : K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow MString.$$

The composition $\sigma \circ \pi$ yields a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow \text{tmf}$. Let $\mathbb{S}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$ be the ∞ -group ∞ -ring of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, [3]. Following [2, Section 8], there is a canonical homomorphism of E - ∞ -rings

$$\mathbb{S}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)) \rightarrow \text{tmf}$$

in other words, the following holds.

Theorem 4.15. *Fix an orbifold X . Then, every gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ over X gives rise to a class in the generalized tmf-cohomology of X .*

We conjecture the following.

Conjecture 4.16. *The induced classes in tmf-generalized cohomology by gerbes over orbifolds X are birational invariants.*

Considering Example 3.13, we wonder whether the tmf-approach can decide stable G -rationality. The following philosophy guides us. Motivic measures should not be able to detect “rare components of certain birational invariants”. In a more precise manner, relying upon Example 4.8, we pose the question:

Question 2. Let Y be an irreducible smooth projective variety. Pick $N \geq 1$ and extend the G -action on Y to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^N$ by considering the trivial action on the second factor. Consider the global quotient orbifolds Y/G and $Y \times \mathbb{P}^N/G$. Is it true that

$$H^2(G; \mathbb{U}(1)) \cong H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(Y/G); \mathbb{U}(1)) \cong H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^N/G); \mathbb{U}(1))$$

?

Relating to the former, we ask, relying on the motivic measure given by the Equation (4.5).

Question 3. Let $X = Y/G$ be a global quotient orbifold. Is the quantity

$$(4.6) \quad \mu_{\text{orb}, \alpha}(\mathbb{L}(X)) = \sum_{(g) \in T_1} [(Y^g / C^\alpha(g)) / X] \mathbb{L}^{l(g^{-1})}$$

is

- (1) a motivic measure
- (2) a *stable* G -birational invariant

where $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ is the *Tate motive* and $\mathbb{L}(X)$ is the space of arcs in X whose $\mathbb{C}$ -points correspond to formal arcs $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[z]] \rightarrow X$? In other words, let a finite group G act generically free on smooth projective irreducible varieties Y, Y' of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let X, X' be the resulting global quotient orbifolds (obtained as orbit spaces). If for some $N \geq 1$ there exist $\alpha \in H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(X \times \mathbb{P}^N); \mathbb{U}(1))$, $\alpha' \in H^2(\pi_1^{orb}(X' \times \mathbb{P}^N); \mathbb{U}(1))$ such that

$$(4.7) \quad \mu_{\text{orb}, \alpha}(\mathbb{L}(X \times \mathbb{P}^N)) \neq \mu_{\text{orb}, \alpha'}(\mathbb{L}(X' \times \mathbb{P}^N))$$

then

$$Y \times \mathbb{P}^N \not\sim_G Y' \times \mathbb{P}^N?$$

Question 4. Can one classify all the possible G -actions on $Y \times \mathbb{P}^N$ that are trivial on the second factor and whose quotient is isomorphic as orbifold to $X \times \mathbb{P}^N$?

Question 5. To the former classification, can one relate the gerbes over $X \times \mathbb{P}^N$ with that on X ?

Taking into account Theorem 4.11, let us consider the Picard group $\text{Pic}_{\text{torsion}}(\mathcal{G}_1)$ (Definition 4.10), we ask:

Question 6. Is $H^1(G; \text{Pic}_{\text{torsion}}(\mathcal{G}_1))$ a stable G -birational invariant?

Many of the former questions and conjectures shall be further approached in [7, 8]. When completed, this program shall give a new read of the new approach in birational geometry initiated in [34, 35].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The São Paulo Research Foundation FAPESP supports L. F. C grants 2022/09603-9 and 2023/14316-1. L. G's research is partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation FAPESP grants 2018/13481-0 and 2023/13131-8. L. K. is supported by The Basic Research Program of the National Research University Higher School of Economics, the Simons Investigators Award of the Simons Collaboration on Homological Mirror Symmetry, and partially supported by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria, National Scientific Program "Excellent Research and People for the Development of European Science" (VIHREN), Project No. KP-06-DV-7.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem, J. Leida, and Y. Ruan. *Orbifolds and Stringy Topology*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [2] M. Ando, A. Blumberg, and D. Gepner. Twists of K-theory and TMF. In *Superstrings, Geometry, Topology, and C*-algebras*, volume 81 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*. AMS, Providence, 2010.
- [3] M. Ando, A. J. Blumberg, D. Gepner, M. J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk. Units of ring spectra, orientations, and Thom spectra via rigid infinite loop space theory. *Journal of Topology*, 7(4):1077–1117, July 2014.
- [4] K. Behrend and Yu. Manin. Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 85(1), October 1996.
- [5] E. Brieskorn. Beispiele zur differentialtopologie von singularitäten. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 2(1):1–14, Feb 1966.
- [6] L. Cavenaghi, L. Grama, and L. Katzarkov. New look at Milnor Spheres. *arXiv eprint 2404.19088*, 2024.
- [7] L. F. Cavenaghi, L. Grama, and L. Katzarkov. Birational and smooth invariants. In preparation.
- [8] L. F. Cavenaghi, L. Grama, L. Katzarkov, and M. Kontsevich. The equivariant theory of atoms. In preparation.
- [9] W. Chen and Y. Ruan. A new cohomology theory of orbifold. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 248(1):1–31, Jun 2004.
- [10] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten. Strings on orbifolds (ii). *Nuclear Physics B*, 274(2):285–314, 1986.
- [11] L. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten. Strings on orbifolds. *Nuclear Physics B*, 261:678–686, 1985.
- [12] J.-M. Drezet and M. S. Narasimhan. Groupe de picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 97(1):53–94, Feb 1989.
- [13] E. Getzler and R. Pandharipande. The Betti numbers of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(r, d)$. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 15(4):709–732, May 2006.
- [14] N. Hitchin. Lectures on special lagrangian submanifolds. *arXiv eprint 9907034*, 1999.
- [15] M. J. Hopkins. Topological Modular Forms, the Witten Genus, and the Theorem of the Cube. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994)*, page 554–565, Basel, 1995. Birkhäuser.
- [16] M. J. Hopkins. Algebraic topology and modular forms. *arXiv eprint*, 2002.
- [17] M. Hughes. Finite group actions on homotopy complex projective spaces. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 199(1):133–151, March 1988.
- [18] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, T. Pantev, and T. Yu. F bundles and non-rationality. In preparation.
- [19] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor. Groups of homotopy spheres: I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 77:504–537, 1963.
- [20] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin. Gromov-witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 164(3):525–562, August 1994.
- [21] M. Kontsevich, V. Pestun, and Y. Tschinkel. Equivariant birational geometry and modular symbols. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 25(1):153–202, 2021.
- [22] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel. Burnside groups and orbifold invariants of birational maps, 2022.
- [23] A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel. Birational geometry of deligne-mumford stacks, 2023.
- [24] Alexander Kuznetsov and Yuri Prokhorov. Rationality over nonclosed fields of fano threefolds with higher geometric picard rank. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 23(1):207–247, August 2022.
- [25] E. Lupercio and M. Poddar. The global McKay-Ruan correspondence via motivic integration. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 36(04):509–515, June 2004.

- [26] E. Lupercio and B. Uribe. Loop groupoids, gerbes, and twisted sectors on orbifolds. In *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001)*, volume 310 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 163–184. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [27] E. Lupercio and B. Uribe. Gerbes over Orbifolds and Twisted K-Theory. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 245(3):449–489, Mar 2004.
- [28] J. Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [29] J. Lurie. A survey of elliptic cohomology. In *Algebraic Topology*, volume 4 of *Abel Symposia*, pages 219–277. 2009.
- [30] J. Milnor. On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere. *Annals of Mathematics*, 64:399–405, 1956.
- [31] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrcun. *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, volume 91. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [32] I. Satake. On a generalization of the notion of manifold. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 42(6):359–363, 1956.
- [33] Y. Tschinkel, K. Yang, and Z. Zhang. Combinatorial burnside groups. *Research in Number Theory*, 8(2), May 2022.
- [34] C. Voisin. Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 201(1):207–237, October 2014.
- [35] C. Voisin. Stable birational invariants and the Lüroth problem. *Surveys in differential geometry*, 21:313–342, 2016.
- [36] V. E. Voskresenskii. and B. Kunyavski. *Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2011.